

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 15, 2003

LB 320

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature. Senator Chambers, if I could ask you a question or two about this, what I'm wondering...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Raikes, I would be delighted to answer. Because of the way your questions go, I can answer before you ask it, if you want me to. (Laughter)

SENATOR RAIKES: That would save time. Please, go ahead.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, I think you've raised a very interesting issue and if I'd a had time to give it adequate consideration I could give a more thorough answer, but in case I didn't do it sufficiently you can bring me back down to earth.

SENATOR RAIKES: Was that yes? (Laughter)

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm trying to learn from you, Senator Raikes. That's the kind of questions you ask, so I was trying to give that kind of answer.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay. Well, I appreciate that, Senator, and I will explore that just a little bit further, even though I think your answer has been fairly complete. If I'm a judge and I'm looking to next year and the year after, is my net take-home pay going to go down under the arrangement we're talking about here?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You mean because you're going to have to pay...give a contribution?

SENATOR RAIKES: Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, if you have to give a contribution that you don't have to give now, that's possible, unless there's something that enhances the judge's salary. So could I buck that question to Senator Brashear?

SENATOR RAIKES: You could, Senator.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Mr. Speaker, members of the body, as I have